



Thermal photon emission in relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Chun Shen The Ohio State University

In collaboration with Jean-Francois Paquet, Ulrich Heinz, and Charles Gale

June 2014, Jet Summer School

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440, 1403.7558

Little Bang



Photons from Heavy-ion Collisions



Challenge from Experiment



PHENIX
 measurements show
 large direct photon v₂
 at p_T < 4 GeV

The state-of-the-art calculation underestimates the data by a factor of 5!

State-of-the-art hydrodynamic modeling



State-of-the-art hydrodynamic modeling



Thermal photon emission rates can be calculated by

$$E_q \frac{dR}{d^3 q} = \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1 (2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2 (2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_3}{2E_3 (2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} |\mathcal{M}|^2$$

 $\times f_1(p_1^{\mu}) f_2(p_2^{\mu}) (1 \pm f_3(p_3^{\mu})) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - q)$ With

$$f(p^{\mu}) = f_0(E) + f_0(E)(1 \pm f_0(E)) \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_{\mu} \hat{p}_{\nu}}{2(e+p)} \chi\left(\frac{p}{T}\right)$$

We can expand photon emission rates around the thermal equilibrium:

$$egin{aligned} qrac{dR}{d^3q} &= \Gamma_0 + rac{\pi^{\mu
u}\hat{q}_\mu\hat{q}_
u}{2(e+p)}a_{lphaeta}\Gamma^{lphaeta}, \ a_{\mu
u} &= rac{3}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^4}\hat{q}_\mu\hat{q}_
u + rac{1}{(u\cdot\hat{q})^2}u_\mu u_
u + rac{1}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^2}g_{\mu
u} - rac{3}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^3}(\hat{q}_\mu u_
u + \hat{q}_
u u_
u). \end{aligned}$$

Thermal photon emission rates can be calculated by $\frac{1}{12}$

 $E_q \frac{dR}{d^3 q} = \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1 (2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2 (2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_3}{2E_3 (2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} |\mathcal{M}|^2$

 $\times f_1(p_1^{\mu}) f_2(p_2^{\mu}) (1 \pm f_3(p_3^{\mu})) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - q)$ With

 $f(p^{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{\mu\nu} \hat{n}_{\nu} \hat{p}_{\nu} \\ \Gamma_0(q,T) & a_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}(q,T) \end{pmatrix} \chi\left(\frac{p}{T}\right)$ We can expa calculated in fluid local rest frame and the thermal equilibrium: $q\frac{dR}{d^3a} = \Gamma_0 + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}\hat{q}_{\mu}\hat{q}_{\nu}}{2(e+\pi)}a_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta},$







Viscous effects on photon elliptic flow



- Shear viscous suppression of photon v₂ is dominated by the viscous corrections to the photon emission rate
- Photon elliptic flow is sensitive to the larger shear stress tensor at early times

Viscous effects on photon elliptic flow



- Shear viscous suppression of photon v₂ is dominated by the viscous corrections to the photon emission rate
- Photon elliptic flow is sensitive to the larger shear stress tensor at early times

Event-by-Event Full Viscous Photon vn



- The anisotropic flows of photons show similar centrality dependence as hadron v_n

Event-by-Event Full Viscous Photon vn



- The anisotropic flows of photons show similar centrality dependence as hadron v_{n}
- The ratio v_2/v_3 increases with the shear viscosity
- The centrality dependence of this ratio is stronger for the MCKLN model, driven by ε_2

Event-by-Event Full Viscous Photon vn



Comparisons with exp. data



 Current calculations still underestimate the experimental data by a factor of 3

arXiv: 1308.2111

Comparisons with exp. data

RHIC 0-20%

LHC 0-40%



- Current calculations still underestimate the experimental data by a factor of 3
- Thermal yield is also missing in the azimuthally integrated photon spectra at low p_T

arXiv: 1308.2111

Conclusions

- We studied photon spectra and their anisotropic flows V_n from *event-by-event* viscous hydrodynamic medium
- Shear viscosity suppresses photon v_n. Dominant suppression comes not from flow, but from the viscous correction to the production rates.
- Elliptic and triangular flow of photons are more sensitive than hadrons to shear stress at early times and to initial state fluctuations.
- Still, experimental data appear to require significantly more photon rate from the late evolution stage than in implemented in the model

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440, 1403.7558

https://github.com/chunshen1987/iEBE.git